IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Case No. 05-cv-329-GKF (PJC)
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING ANY REFERENCE TO PRIOR ATTEMPTS TO SETTLE [DKT #2402]

Plaintiff, the State of Oklahoma ("the State"), hereby submits its response in opposition to Defendants' Joint Motion in Limine Regarding Any Reference to Prior Attempts to Settle (Dkt. #2402) ("Defendants' Motion"). The State has no objection to the granting of Defendants' Motion to the extent Defendants seek to preclude from evidence at trial (1) offers to compromise the claims in this lawsuit made in the context of confidential settlement negotiations or (2) statements made in the context of confidential settlement negotiations regarding such claims. To the extent, however, Defendants' Motion requests an order precluding evidence beyond that scope, the State asserts two objections.

First, Defendants make one passing reference in their Motion to excluding evidence regarding prior attempts to settle "other" disputes between the State and one or more of Defendants. (See Motion at 1 (referring to "prior attempts to settle this dispute, or any others, between Plaintiff[] and Defendants, or any one of them") (emphasis added).) Because Defendants' Motion does not identify "any other" disputes between the State and Defendants, or any one of them, the State is not in a position to comment on the admissibility of such evidence. A party should not have to guess at what exactly is intended to be covered by a motion in limine. Therefore, to the extent Defendants' Motion suggests an order reaching unidentified "other" disputes between the State and one or more of Defendants, it should be denied.

Second, Defendants' Motion also makes reference to – and seeks to preclude the introduction of – public assertions by the State that it was "forced to sue the defendants because defendants refused to settle the case and take responsibility of the litter application." (Motion at 1.) In this regard, to the extent Defendants seek to exclude evidence relating to the State's reasons for bringing the lawsuit against Defendants, Defendants' Motion paints with too broad a brush and should be denied. Defendants' reliance on Federal Rules of Evidence 408(a), 401/402, and 403 and Local Civil Rule 16.2(i) to preclude such evidence is misplaced.

Evidence relating to the State's pre-lawsuit attempts to obtain compliance from Defendants without court action and Defendants' refusal to comply does not fall within the ambit of Federal Rule of Evidence 408. Rule 408 only protects statements that constitute, or are made in pursuit of, a compromise. *Rodriguez-Garcia v. Municipality of Caguas*, 495 F.3d 1, 12 (1st Cir. 2007). Defendants' pre-lawsuit *refusals* to take responsibility for poultry litter application in the IRW, *refusals* to comply with the law, and *refusals* to compromise do not so qualify and therefore are not protected by Rule 408.

Nor does such evidence fall within the ambit of Local Civil Rule 16.2(i), which speaks to communications relating to or occurring at settlement conferences. *See Alexander v. Philip Morris USA, Inc.*, NO. 06-CV-50-GKF-FHM, 2008 WL 2704464 (N.D. Okla. July 3, 2008).

Moreover, such information is relevant, at a minimum, to the State's claim for civil penalties in Count 7. In Count 7, the State has brought a claim for, among other things, civil penalties for Defendants' violations of the Oklahoma Environmental Quality Code, 27A Okla. Stat. § 2-6-105. (Dkt. #1215 (Second Amended Complaint ¶ 131).) The remedy provision

accompanying 27A Okla. Stat. § 2-6-105, entitled "Violation of Code, order, permit or license or rule – Penalties and remedies," is 27A Okla. Stat. § 2-3-504, which provides in part: "Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, any person who violates any of the provisions of, or who fails to perform any duty imposed by, the Oklahoma Environmental Quality Code . . . : 2. May be punished in civil proceedings in district court by assessment of a civil penalty of not more than Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) for each violation" 27A Okla. Stat. § 2-3-504(A). Subsection H of 27A Okla. Stat. § 2-3-504 provides that "[i]n determining the amount of a civil penalty the court shall consider such factors as . . . the history of such violations [and] any good faith efforts to comply with the applicable requirements" (Emphasis added.) Evidence of Defendants' pre-lawsuit refusals to take responsibility for poultry litter application in the IRW and refusals to comply with the law is directly relevant to the history of Defendants' violations of the Oklahoma Environmental Quality Code. Thus, Federal Rules of Evidence 401 and 402 do not apply.

Finally, Rule 403 does not support the exclusion of such information. Defendants do not expressly contend that Rule 403 protects against assertions by the State that it was forced to commence this lawsuit because Defendants refused to take responsibility for their poultry waste land application, but to the extent any such argument can be inferred to this subset of evidence that Defendants seek to exclude, the State addresses it here. Simply put, Rule 403 does not protect against the admission of evidence of Defendants' pre-lawsuit violations, and Defendants have not identified how there is any risk of confusion to the factfinder by hearing such evidence.

In sum, to the extent Defendants seek an order to preclude evidence outside the scope of (1) offers to compromise the claims in this lawsuit made in the context of confidential settlement negotiations or (2) statements made in the context of confidential settlement negotiations

regarding the claims, Defendants' Motion should be denied. The two add-ons Defendants seek simply fall outside the contemplation of the rules governing the exclusion of settlement-related evidence.

For the foregoing reasons, the State respectfully submits that the Court should grant in part and deny in part Defendants' Motion *in Limine* (Dkt. #2402).

Respectfully Submitted,

W.A. Drew Edmondson OBA # 2628 ATTORNEY GENERAL Kelly H. Burch OBA #17067 ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL State of Oklahoma 313 N.E. 21st St. Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (405) 521-3921

M. David Riggs OBA #7583
Joseph P. Lennart OBA #5371
Richard T. Garren OBA #3253
Sharon K. Weaver OBA #19010
Robert A. Nance OBA #6581
D. Sharon Gentry OBA #15641
David P. Page OBA #6852
RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN,
ORBISON & LEWIS
502 West Sixth Street
Tulsa, OK 74119
(918) 587-3161

<u>/s/ Ingrid L. Moll</u>

William H. Narwold (admitted *pro hac vice*) Ingrid L. Moll (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE LLC 20 Church Street, 17th Floor Hartford, CT 06103 (860) 882-1678 Louis W. Bullock OBA #1305 Robert M. Blakemore OBA 18656 BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE 110 West Seventh Street Suite 707 **Tulsa OK 74119** (918) 584-2001

Frederick C. Baker (admitted pro hac vice) Elizabeth C. Ward (admitted pro hac vice) Elizabeth Claire Xidis (admitted pro hac vice) MOTLEY RICE LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mount Pleasant, SC 29465 (843) 216-9280

Jonathan D. Orent (admitted pro hac vice) Michael G. Rousseau (admitted *pro hac vice*) Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick (admitted pro hac vice) MOTLEY RICE LLC 321 South Main Street Providence, RI 02940 (401) 457-7700

Attorneys for the State of Oklahoma

I hereby certify that on this 20th day of August, 2009, I electronically transmitted the above and foregoing pleading to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and a transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

Kelly H. Burch, Assistant Attorney General	fc_docket@oag.state.ok.us kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us			
M. David Riggs	driggs@riggsabney.com			
Joseph P. Lennart	jlennart@riggsabney.com			
Richard T. Garren	rgarren@riggsabney.com			
Sharon K. Weaver	sweaver@riggsabney.com			
Robert A. Nance	rnance@riggsabney.com			
D. Sharon Gentry	sgentry@riggsabney.com			
David P. Page	dpage@riggsabney.com			
RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON & LEWIS				
Louis Werner Bullock	lbullock@bullock-blakemore.com			
Robert M. Blakemore	bblakemore@bullock-blakemore.com			
BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE				
Frederick C. Baker	fbaker@motleyrice.com			
Elizabeth C. Ward	lward@motleyrice.com			
Elizabeth Claire Xidis	cxidis@motleyrice.com			
William H. Narwold	bnarwold@motleyrice.com			
Ingrid L. Moll	imoll@motleyrice.com			
Jonathan D. Orent	jorent@motleyrice.com			
Michael G. Rousseau	mrousseau@motleyrice.com			
Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick	ffitzpatrick@motleyrice.com			
MOTLEY RICE LLC				
Counsel for State of Oklahoma				
Robert P. Redemann	rredemann@pmrlaw.net			
PERRINE, MCGIVERN, REDEMANN, REID, BA	ARRY & TAYLOR, P.L.L.C.			
David C. Senger	david@cgmlawok.com			
Robert E Sanders	rsanders@youngwilliams.com			
Edwin Stephen Williams	steve.williams@youngwilliams.com			
YOUNG WILLIAMS P.A.				
Counsel for Cal-Maine Farms, Inc and Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.				

John H. Tucker	jtucker@rhodesokla.com		
Theresa Noble Hill	thill@rhodesokla.com		
Colin Hampton Tucker	ctucker@rhodesokla.com		
Kerry R. Lewis	klewis@rhodesokla.com		
RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Terry Wayen West	terry@thewestlawfirm.com		
THE WEST LAW FIRM	1 1111		
Delmar R. Ehrich	dehrich@faegre.com		
Bruce Jones	bjones@faegre.com		
Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee	kklee@faegre.com		
Todd P. Walker	twalker@faegre.com		
Christopher H. Dolan	cdolan@faegre.com		
Melissa C. Collins	mcollins@faegre.com		
Colin C. Deihl	cdeihl@faegre.com		
Randall E. Kahnke	rkahnke@faegre.com		
FAEGRE & BENSON, LLP			
Counsel for Cargill, Inc. & Cargill Turkey Production, LLC			
James Martin Graves	jgraves@bassettlawfirm.com		
Gary V Weeks	gweeks@bassettlawfirm.com		
Woody Bassett	wbassett@bassettlawfirm.com		
K. C. Dupps Tucker	kctucker@bassettlawfirm.com		
Earl Lee "Buddy" Chadick	bchadick@bassettlawfirm.com		
Vincent O. Chadick	vchadick@bassettlawfirm.com		
BASSETT LAW FIRM			
George W. Owens	gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com		
Randall E. Rose	rer@owenslawfirmpc.com		
OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C.			
Counsel for George's Inc. & George's Farms, Inc.			
A. Scott McDaniel	smcdaniel@mhla-law.com		
Nicole Longwell	nlongwell@mhla-law.com		
Philip Hixon	phixon@mhla-law.com		
Craig A. Merkes	cmerkes@mhla-law.com		
MCDANIEL, HIXON, LONGWELL & ACORD, P	LLC		
Sherry P. Bartley	sbartley@mwsgw.com		
MITCHELL, WILLIAMS, SELIG, GATES & WOODYARD, PLLC			
Counsel for Peterson Farms, Inc.			

rconrad@uschamber.com

Robin S Conrad

waddell@fec.net

William A. Waddell, Jr.

David E. Choate	dchoate@fec.net		
FRIDAY, ELDREDGE & CLARK, LLP			
Counsel for Arkansas Farm Bureau Federation			
Barry Greg Reynolds	reynolds@titushillis.com		
Jessica E. Rainey	jrainey@titushillis.com		
TITUS, HILLIS, REYNOLDS, LOVE,			
DICKMAN & MCCALMON			
Nikaa Baugh Jordan	njordan@lightfootlaw.com		
William S. Cox, III	wcox@lightfootlaw.com		
LIGHTFOOT, FRANKLIN & WHITE, LLC			
Counsel for American Farm Bureau and Nationa	al Cattlemen's Beef Association		
Duane L. Berlin	dberlin@levberlin.com		
LEV & BERLIN PC			
Counsel for Council of American Survey Research Organizations & American Association for			
Public Opinion Research			

Also on this 20th day of August, 2009, I mailed a copy of the above and foregoing pleading to:

Thomas C Green -- via email: tcgreen@sidley.com Sidley, Austin, Brown & Wood LLP

Dustin McDaniel Justin Allen Office of the Attorney General (Little Rock) 323 Center St, Ste 200 Little Rock, AR 72201-2610

Steven B. Randall 58185 County Rd 658 Kansas, Ok 74347

Cary Silverman -- via email: csilverman@shb.com **Victor E Schwartz** Shook Hardy & Bacon LLP (Washington DC)

> /s/ Ingrid L. Moll Ingrid L. Moll